

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/758,007	ZON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Q. Janice Li	1632

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO. (3) _____.

(2) Leena Karttnen, Appl Rep. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

18 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed amendment of claims 18 and 20 to correct issues under 35USC, § 112, 2nd paragraph. Applicants agreed on making the changes by an Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**Q. JANICE LI, M.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)